## UNITED STATES ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

Washington, D.C. 20451

February 3, 1988

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

MEMORANDUM FOR:

MA FILE OGA SFRESALI

Mr. Melvyn Levitsky Executive Secretary, Department of State

Colonel William M. Matz, Jr. Executive Secretary, Department of Defense

Mr. William V. Vitale Executive Secretary, Department of Energy

Mr. Paul Schott Stevens
Executive Secretary, National Security Council

STAT

Executive Secretary, Central Intelligence Agency

RADM Joseph C. Strasser
Executive Assistant to the Chairman,
Joint Chiefs of Staff

SUBJECT: Transmittal Letter: Round by Round Analysis

Attached for clearance is a transmittal letter from the Acting Director to Senator Pell to accompany the ACDA produced Round by Round Summary of the INF negotiations entitled: "Development of US and Soviet Positions on Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles in the Nuclear and Space Talks: Round-by-Round Analysis." The document was forwarded to you for agency clearance on January 25, 1988.

Senator Pell requested this document in his letter to Secretary Shultz of January 13, 1988, and the Dawson/Negroponte group agreed that the document might be transmitted to the Senator in this fashion.

The document was prepared to be used as an unclassified paper. We propose to convey it that way to Senator Pell.

Please send your clearance of this transmittal letter by COB Thursday, February 4, to Rodney Jones, 647-8018.

William B. Staples Executive Secretary

Attachment As stated.

"TED OFFICIAL USE

DCI EXEC REG LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

February 4, 1988

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Your request for the "Round by Round Analysis of the INF Treaty Negotiations" has been referred to me, and I am pleased to provide a copy for your information.

This paper, entitled "Development of US and Soviet Positions on Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles in the Nuclear and Space Talks: Round-by-Round Analysis," was prepared by the staff of the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency as an informal, working description and summary of the evolution of the INF negotiations from March 1985 through their conclusion. However, while the analysis has been reviewed within other Agencies and by INF Delegation members, it represents an informal staff report, not an official or authoritative Administration account of the negotiations.

With that understanding, I hope you will find the enclosed analysis helpful in your deliberations.

Sincerely,

David Emery Acting

Enclosure As stated

The Honorable Claiborne Pell Chairman Committee on Foreign Relations United States Senate

